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Abstract: Emotional invalidation is a construct that has received very little attention despite its theoretical importance. The current study examined the relationship between emotional invalidation to thought suppression and avoidant stress responses among married couples residing in Metro Manila. Using the Perceived Invalidation of Emotion Scale, White Bear Thought Suppression Inventory, and Avoidant Stress Responses Scale the researchers have accelerated to study how married couples handle emotional invalidation. Descriptive-correlational design was utilized and respondents were chosen using a non-probability purposive sampling technique with a specific criterion wherein four hundred and seventy-five participants completed a forced-choice questionnaire about how they perceived invalidation with their spouse and a subset of this group (n=200) completed an additional measure about thought suppression and avoidant stress responses. The result indicated that 63% of the respondents perceived that they are very highly invalidated; 52% of the respondents scored high on the level of thought suppression while 51% of the respondents are moderately avoidant. The result of the statistical treatment of data using linear regression analysis shows that married couples who perceived that they are emotionally invalidated do not engage in thought suppression and avoidant stress responses. Therefore, the researcher concluded that emotional invalidation has no significant relationship to thought suppression and avoidant stress responses. In line with this, this current study can provide a foundation for future researchers to expand more study about invalidation.
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1. Introduction
In a relationship, how the other one conforms to you would be the hardest aspect of communication. It is about how they can talk to people verbally and nonverbally. A solid foundation for positive communication with someone is that they do not fear empathy, and they would confidently understand each other. Lavner and colleagues [1], concluded that communication plays a central role in models of relationship deterioration, a relationship is believed to remain strong as long as partners respond with sensitivity to one another. Research from Lynch et al. [2] proves a notion of mental conditions resulting from emotional invalidation. History of emotion invalidation has substantially corresponded with emotional inhibition (i.e., ambivalence over emotional expression, thought suppression, and avoidant stress responses). Preliminary findings released by the Philippine Statistics Authority (PSA) [3] from the 2017 National Demographic and Health Survey, one in four or 26% of married women aged 15 to 49 have witnessed emotional abuse. Few research studies state that one of the common reasons why married couples separate includes lack of communication. An emotional invalidation is a form of emotional abuse that can cause a couple to have lower marital satisfaction [4], emotion invalidation is defined as any social exchange during which an individual’s expressed emotions or affective experiences are met with a response from another person that is perceived by the individual as implying that their affective experiences or emotions are invalid, incorrect or inappropriate. Invalidation of emotion happens if an expressed emotion is dismissed, ignored, neglected, and criticized. It is as if your opinions, thoughts, and emotions are unimportant. This study is rooted in Linehan’s study about emotion invalidation which occurs when a person is subjected to minimizing experiences, thereby creating serious emotional dysregulation. In line with this, the result of the study conducted by Krause and his colleague [5] suggests that individuals with a history of emotional invalidation tend to inhibit or suppress emotional expression. Only a few studies were conducted concerning emotional invalidation. The dearth of these researches lacks the information that focuses on the current and ongoing effect of emotion invalidation on the married couple. This research study is aimed to measure the emotional invalidation of the married couple and...
determine whether it has a significant relation to thought suppression and avoidant stress response. Specifically, it will attempt to address the following questions: 1. What is the length of years of being married among couples? 2. What is the level of perceived emotional invalidation of a married couple to their spouse? 3. What is the level of perceived thought suppression of married couples to their spouse? 4. What is the level of perceived avoidant stress responses of married couples to their spouse? 5. Is there a significant relationship between emotional invalidation to thought suppression among married couples? 6. Is there a significant relationship between emotional invalidation to avoidant stress response among married couples?

2. Locating the study in Existing Literature
Emotional Invalidation

Emotional Invalidation Sharing of emotional experiences is ambiguous and it has been used in several ways [6]. Every single day everyone experiences having an event that evokes an emotional response and humans, being hardwired for connection, tend to share their emotional experiences. Because emotion is such a common experience and it typically captures our attention and directs behavior, it has important implications for daily life [7]. Beyond this function of emotion, it also has an interpersonal function. The interpersonal function of emotion can be experienced through emotional expression. Wanzer et. al, [8] pointed out the importance of effective communication because it has the power of increasing one’s connections with others and one’s well-being. According to Lavner and colleagues [1], communication plays a central role in models of relationship deterioration, a relationship is believed to remain strong as long as partners respond with sensitivity to one another. Relationship satisfaction varies from person to person. Regardless, researchers have attempted to define common constructs among individuals in order to provide a roadmap for safe and fulfilling relationships, and effective communication is one of them [9]. Validation as a way of communicating is recommended for handling emotional expression in interpersonal interactions. It communicates understanding and acceptance of the other person's experience, and it has been shown to have a down-regulating effect on negative emotions. It has previously been demonstrated to be important for couples [10]. In line with that [11] he added that validation is defined as the sense of being understood. A validating person demonstrates a high level of supporting behavior and a low level of conflict-inducing behavior, whereas an invalidating person is unlikely to support others and actively seeks out conflict. Moreover, non-acceptance and invalidation of emotional experiences can reinforce negative attitudes about emotional experiences by preventing the assignment of adaptive meanings, which can lead to symptomatology [12]. However, those who placed a high value on negative emotions (e.g., anger, anxiety) had fewer correlations between daily negative emotions and poor psychosocial functioning and physical health. Other research has found that establishing positive attitudes about the usefulness of specific emotional states (such as worry) or attributes (such as physiological arousal) helps people recover from stressful situations. The fact that negative emotions can be perceived as beneficial demonstrates that people’s opinions about emotion’s functionality aren’t just based on how they desire to feel or how pleasurable they consider specific emotions to be. In general, appreciating specific emotions, or specific aspects of emotion is linked to better outcomes than perceiving them as dysfunctional [13]. Moreover, [14] invalidation is when one's private feelings or overt expressions of emotions are punished, ignored, or otherwise considered as unacceptable. He added that invalidation can take many forms, but it most commonly refers to painful, severe, or irregular responses to one's private experiences (e.g., thoughts and feelings) or overt expression of emotions that being met with punishing or extreme responses that direct the message that individual behavior, thoughts, and feelings that are not acceptable. Loes[14] stated that other forms of invalidation include and are not limited to neglect, criticism, punishment, humiliation, and sexual abuse. In line with that, according to Dable, [15] Invalidation has been demonstrated to contribute to higher levels of negative affect and heart rate. They also added that they found out that invalidation by a spouse was found to be linked to the helplessness, affective distress, and pain behaviors described by those with chronic pain. The degree of happiness among couples largely depends on the effectiveness of the communication that a couple has. Communicating effectively is a propeller of an intimate relationship because it allows them to avoid misunderstanding, express their emotions freely and fulfill their needs [16]. In line with this, validation is seen as a way of communicating that is suggested for handling emotional expression in interpersonal interactions, validation communicates a way of understanding and acceptance which is important for couples [10]. In the phase of marriage, the honeymoon phase is long over and married couples tend to have problems at their worst – even when the couple sees a happy marriage as an outcome of actual efforts to keep it healthy. The psychological literature considers this pattern consistent with the notions such as ‘honeymoon- is-over’ and ‘seven-year itch’. Most married couples come up with a decline in marital quality after the first years of marriage, with tensions tending to culminate near the seventh year [17]. Others are not always responsive to sharers’ expressed emotions; they may respond with negative, emotionally invalidating reactions (e.g., dismissing, criticizing, ignoring that is done intentionally) that may exert deleterious consequences [18]. In a study conducted by Weber & Herr, [19] evidence shows that greater invalidation among couples is related to lower marital satisfaction. Conversely, a high degree of invalidating responses that contributes to emotional intimacy has a significant factor in satisfaction in the relationship [20]. In addition to that, people who value emotion are more accepting of how their partners feel, and empathy is linked to relationship satisfaction. Both expressing and empathizing with emotion can improve relationship quality and thus enhance wellbeing. People who value emotion as harmful are less open about their feelings and may invalidate how their partners feel.[13] However, couples may also have formed effective coping methods for dealing with challenges and may be less emotionally negative in their resolution of conflict [21]. According to Zielinski & Veilleux [18], emotion invalidation is any social exchange during which an individual’s expressed emotions or affective experiences are met with a response from another person that is perceived by the individual as implying that their affective experiences or emotions are invalid, incorrect or inappropriate. Invalidation of emotion happens if an expressed emotion is dismissed, ignored, neglected, and criticized. It is as if your opinions, thoughts, and emotions are unimportant. As explained by Herr, et. al,[22], invalidation of emotion has
been shown to have both immediate and long-term detrimental effects on emotional regulation and reactivity. Even if it is unintentional, invalidation can have a detrimental effect [23]. For example, neglecting emotional expression by ignoring emotional display [24], and minimization of another person’s emotions [25], may have an impact on emotional dysregulation. These studies suggest that a form of emotion dysregulation which is emotion invalidation may stem from how social partners respond to an expressed emotion [18]. However, those who believe that emotion is detrimental are more prone to be secretive about their feelings and may also dismiss or invalidate the feelings of their partner. Seeing emotion as more beneficial than harmful has various advantages, including improved emotion control, social relationship promotion, and long-term wellness. Acceptance of one’s own and others’ emotional experiences is a key process underlying these [13]. The origin of the research about emotion invalidation can be traced to the development of Linehan’s Biosocial Theory of Borderline Personality Disorder (BPD) in 1993. Linehan also explored the concept of emotional invalidation which occurs when a person is subjected to minimizing experiences throughout their childhood, thereby creating serious emotional dysregulation [26]. According to Biosocial Theory, emotion dysregulation arises because of invalidating the childhood environment. In line with the theory, various studies demonstrated the association between childhood invalidation and the development of borderline personality disorder [27]. The biosocial theory of BPD explains that people who grew up in an emotionally invalidating environment have difficulty in regulating their emotions [28]. In addition, experts believed that being in an emotionally invalidating environment may lead to a person having difficulty in regulating his or her emotions because the opportunities for coregulation and emotion de-escalation are missed [29]. This finding suggests that invalidation has a detrimental effect on the emotional and physical aspects of a person. Understanding the factors that contribute to emotion dysregulation which is emotion invalidation is crucial. Studying invalidation among married couples is vital because the degree of marital satisfaction is related to the degree of invalidation. Markman and colleagues [30] predict marital outcomes discovered that couples’ perceptions of their communication during a conflict discussion predicted marital satisfaction up to five years later.

**Thought Suppression**

Thought suppression is a cognitive process by which individuals try to avoid unwanted thoughts [31]. According to Gupta & Mishra [32], thought suppression was completely studied by William James in 1890 and systematic research was performed by Wegner and Zanakos [33]. In line with that, Wegner and Zanakos [33] in the year 1994 described thought suppression as the general tendency or desire to suppress distressing thoughts. An individual can experience unwanted thoughts that may arise regarding events that have occurred in the past (e.g., a loved one's death), and that may occur in the future (e.g., the termination of an employment contract) [34]. One thing to deal with negative thoughts or memory is to put them out of mind. People remove certain thoughts from their awareness by actively choosing to think about something else. The process of avoiding unwanted thoughts by trying to block them from consciousness is called thought suppression [35].

In addition, [36] explained that thought suppression lessens the ability to recall emotionally negative situations but not those emotions that were positive. One of the paradoxical and counterproductive effects of thought suppression is that it can lead to a recurrence of the thought being suppressed [37]. According to Efrati et. al, [38] Thought suppression, defined as the attempt to dismiss undesired thoughts, is one of the most popular techniques for dealing with objectionable thoughts, especially if we do not feel comfortable addressing them publicly. In line with this, thought suppression consists of making conscious attempts to stop unwanted thoughts from eliciting bad feelings. Increased rates of depression have been linked to thought suppression. On the other hand, Longitudinal research reveals that thought suppression interacts with life stress, indicating increased rates of depression only in high-stress situations. However, the studies of the general population indicate that trying to suppress thoughts commonly results in rebound effects as well as increases in emotional and physiological arousal. The attachment avoidance approach for deactivating the attachment system has been connected to thought suppression [39]. Furthermore, Dworkin et. al, [40], stated that suppression is motivated by personal goals that may vary across settings and emotions, in which motivation may include protecting their relationship by concealing or suppressing their expression of anger, suppressing sadness to reduce one’s vulnerability, and being courteous to value other by suppressing personal pride and happiness. They explained that during couple interactions suppression refers to avoid hurting a person that made him angry. They added that suppression has adaptive benefits in couple interactions and it may be helpful, at times to suppress one’s emotional expression to manage a partner's ability to express his or her emotions. In line with this, Garofalo et. al, [41] stated that an individual may hide his or her emotions if they think that expressing them could impair their relationship or hurt their partner’s feelings. Thought suppression has been characterized as a maladaptive kind of experiential avoidance as well as a maladaptive method for emotion regulation. [42] White Bear Suppression Inventory or also known as WBSI was made by Wegner & Zanakos [33] in the year of 1994 to measure thought suppression Thimm, et. al, [43]. However, Rassin [44], suggested that the WBSI measure two factors, the intrusion and thought suppression. In the defense of that, it was pointed out that a high frequency of intrusion does not always imply a high level of suppression. In addition, those findings need additional support for the assumption that the WBSI assesses both thought suppression and intrusive thoughts, as well as to the study of other researcher’s hypothesis that the intrusion items may inflate the connection between the WBSI and psychopathology measures Schmidt, et. al, [45]. Furthermore, In the latest study of White Bear Suppression Inventory, it was mentioned that It is more difficult to interpret total scores on the inventory if they correspond to both intrusive thoughts and suppression because it’s impossible to tell whether low scores refer to people who suppress efficiently or to those who don't have intrusive ideas. In any event, the test’s factor structure and construct validity remain unknown, and factors with similar names aren't even defined by the same items. As a result, they believed that resolving the issue should be a top priority so that they can focus on other psychometric properties of the inventory, such as its reliability (internal consistency and test-retest), as well as differential-criterial validity, convergent, divergent, predictive, and discriminant validity about intrusive thoughts, depression, and cognitive processes like intolerance of
uncertainty and meta-cognition (beliefs about worries)[46]. Individuals with a history of emotional invalidation tend to inhibit or suppress emotional expression[5]. Emotional suppression refers to hiding emotional experiences from others, a strategy that they considered as expressive suppression. Emotional suppression differs from thought suppression as an interpersonal process for keeping emotionally evocative thoughts and feelings out of one’s mind, while expression refers to attempts to communicate emotions with others. Physical, psychological, and social well-being have been found to influence emotional suppression and expression [47]. However, Excessive use of expressive suppression, on the other hand, is linked to experiencing less positive and more negative emotions, fewer reported close relationships, lower life satisfaction, and more depressive symptoms [48]. According to Brandão et. al, [49], suppression of emotions from partners tends to verify that they are not sensitive and available to provide support to their partner. In addition to that, Righetti & Impett, [50], explained that through sacrificing, the individual settles a relationship-oriented in which partners can continue to stay together and pursue their shared activities. Sacrifices in a relationship occur when an individual initially likes to do something but decides instead to subordinate their personal preferences. Knowing your partner is willing to give up their self-interest for the relationship can make the partner feel loved and cared for [51]. Many researchers have looked at the suppression of emotionally relevant ideas like anxieties, ruminative thoughts, traumatic event thoughts, obsessional thoughts, and so on. The thought’s emotional impact is an important factor to examine [42]. Furthermore, a study conducted in the Philippines by Ramos et al, [52], explained that emotion is similar to the mood or state of a person’s feelings that correlates with non-verbal behavior. Therefore, the ability to suppress emotions could be adaptive especially when coping with highly stressful situations and traumatic experiences [47]. Individuals used thought suppression as a coping mechanism for dealing with distressing thoughts. People are more likely to suppress intensely emotional traumatic memories, which can be remembered regardless of memory age. If these memories are suppressed, each intrusion into consciousness will elicit the memory’s associated effect, and people can feel as if they are reliving the memory because they are seeing it through their own eyes [35]. Zoppolat et. al, [53], said that in a romantic relationship people often encounter a conflict of interest. They also added that an effective way to resolve conflict situations is to sacrifice one’s preference to benefit one’s partner and also the relationship. They added that sacrificing one’s interest is an effective way to solve such dilemmas. Since, conflict is unavoidable in couple relationships and can be simply characterized as the existence of conflict, discrepancy, or incompatibility between partners. Couple bonds have a high degree of interdependence, which promotes intimacy but also provides the potential for conflict and abuse [54]. It is commonly known that people can regulate their emotions, which involve generating new emotions or changing the current emotions by using behavioral or cognitive strategies. There are multiple emotion regulation strategies, including suppression of emotions in which an individual may avoid or ignore certain situations to change the way they feel [55]. Emotion regulation is our capability to handle our emotions instead of being dealt with. It also teaches essential skills to deal with emotions positively [56]. Purdon [42], added that emotionally relevant thoughts are linked to mood, associative memory networks, and prepotent responses. Emotion regulation is termed as the ability to understand and accept emotional experiences, to use adaptive strategies to manage emotions, to behave in accordance with goals when experiencing negative emotions, and to control impulses. Emotion regulation is understood to be attachment style. For instance, a person with an avoidant attachment style may develop deactivating emotion management mechanisms such as reducing the feeling and expression of emotions. These techniques appear to work at first, allowing you to stay close to an attachment figure while reducing attachment-related stress. Chronic overuse of these methods, especially in reciprocal adult relationships, is considered maladaptive because of the risk of detrimental long-term consequences. Suppression, experiencing avoidance, and rumination are examples of maladaptive emotion management practices. Attachment avoidance and depression are linked by maladaptive emotion regulation, which has been identified as one of the most important mediators [39]. The study of Balzarotti et al. [57], defined expressive suppression as a common strategy that people use to regulate their emotions, in which an individual may try to hide and avoid the outward display of positive and negative feelings. Compared with different strategies, expressive suppression has been considered as a more interpersonal form of regulation of emotion since it is directly targeting the outer expressive behavior which is noticeable to other people. Their study would support the statement of Ong & Thompson, [58], in which expressive suppression is an attempt to hide or reduce the ongoing emotion expressive behavior. A variety of emotion management techniques may be effective in reducing contextually excessive positive emotion, but they believe that expressive suppression is especially useful. As the name implies, expressive repression is concerned with the behavioral representation of emotion: when people effectively suppress their feelings, observers are unable to say what emotion they are feeling simply by looking at them [59]. According to [60] individuals who reported a higher level of habitual expressive suppression show a lower score on emotion regulation. Their study was tested on Asian people as their participants, one Chinese, two Vietnamese, one Filipino, one Japanese, and one Thai. In line with that, Frye [61] stated that individuals may tend to be good at suppressing their emotions from others, but they also struggle to hide the experience of emotion. Since spouses rely on emotional signals to reach mutual understanding, when they are unable to correctly perceive their partners’ emotions, they may become irritated, resulting in intense interaction. However, He added that husbands’ use of expressive suppression, on the other hand, has been shown to be negatively linked to their own and their spouses’ marital satisfaction. However, women are more negatively affected by their partners’ emotional suppression, while similarity in suppression between partners was positively linked to the marital quality of wives [62]. A person who can control their own emotions is perceived as strong, therefore they believe that someone who is not able to control his or her emotions could be seen to be weak and possibly less desirable as friends and partners [63]. Married couples who can control emotions will result in satisfaction in marriage[64]. That is also related to the study of Ghazivakili et. al, [65], that emotional maturity has a positive effect on life satisfaction, marital satisfaction, mental health, and coping skills. Yoschany et. al, [66] defined marital satisfaction as a feeling of happiness, fulfillment, and pleasure shared by both
men and women as it encompasses all facets of their lives. Couples that are mindful of each other’s needs and desires at various ages and to better meet these needs will have a higher level of marital satisfaction. The intense use of conflict in a romantic relationship is unavoidable. Individuals can try to regulate themselves to avoid certain emotional experiences or to change the emotion that they are feeling by using cognitive appraisal and expressive suppression [67]. A cognitive mechanism of thought suppression shows in conditions in which an individual try to control negative thoughts by rejecting or suppressing them [68]. Moreover, Kahambing et al. [69], studied the development tasks of lasting marriages in the Philippines, she stated that there would be times when conflicts will settle in, whether the couple likes to fix it or not. She mentioned that married couples should know how to cope up with arguments and since the suppression of thoughts is an attempt not to think of something, it can also use a strategy of cognitive control of emotion regulations when thoughts create unwanted emotions. However, one of the couple’s conflicts begins when one of them is unable to interact effectively with their partner, or when the husband misinterprets the contact made by the wife and vice versa. As a result, communication abilities are an essential determinant of marital happiness. Couples will enjoy their time together more if they have good interpersonal contact, which avoids any potential misunderstandings from conflicts [70]. Moreover, the study of Geram [71], stated that emotion regulation strategies can be adaptive by positive reappraisal and reframing or maladaptive emotion suppression. They said that the issues between the partners are caused not only by a lack of communication skills but also by their own early attachment experiences. According to Özyiğit, [72] communication issues between spouses have been shown to reduce marriage satisfaction for both men and women, and reactions such as becoming insulited or refusing to communicate may disrupt the relationship between spouses and trigger conflicts in marital adjustment. According to them, partners are encouraged to speak openly about their difficulties in marriage rather than avoiding them, and it is stressed that unresolved issues between couples can lead to increased tension in marriages. However, it may be preferable for people to avoid the expression of unwanted positive feelings in such situations in order to preserve a positive impression. According to them, in certain cases where the context does not fit emotional experience, suppression is likely to be a beneficial social strategy. In support of that, they added that previous research has found that people who can flexibly use speech and suppression techniques have greater psychological functioning over time [73]. In line with that, would also support the statement of Niessen et. al. [74] that individuals may inhibit certain unwanted desires and actions, and they can also restrict access to information in memory that is emotionally distressing, unwanted, or unrelated to task processing. According to them, stopping these unwanted thoughts and urges can have several immediate benefits, including improved mood, increased focus on the task at hand, increased learning, and improved results. They said that it can be difficult to stop distracting thoughts, but it is necessary for staying focused. They added that according to stress studies, people’s ability to control unwanted thoughts can be viewed as a personal advantage that can shield them from the negative effects of stressful situations. Happy marriages involved less negative affect exchange compared to unhappy marriages. Couples who have a stable and happy marriage are not smarter or more mentally intelligent, nor wealthier than others, but they have learned how to minimize negative feelings and thoughts and encourage more positive feelings in their daily lives [75]. Happy couples had stronger problem-solving habits than unhappy couples and unhappy couples had more disagreements and used dysfunctional communication skills during these conflicts [72]. In the Filipino context they rather solved the problem than suppress it, this includes positive and hopeful thinking, changes in goals and values. Help-seeking behaviors, receiving advice, receiving support from friends and family, and sharing one’s problems are all examples of social support (paghingi ng tulong). Problem-solving (pagtugon) include making plans, taking action, and attempting to reduce the source of stress. Filipino who was confronted in a stressful situation will experience recovery, redemption and coping Rilveria, [76] Coping methods are the ways in which people choose to respond to stressful events. The effectiveness of coping mechanisms may play a significant influence in stress reduction. For many Filipinos who come from religious families, cultural coping mechanisms are readily available[77].

Avoidant Stress Responses

It is claimed that stress may encourage avoidance by depleting cognitive and emotional resources, decreasing coping resources, and encouraging the person to concentrate on more immediate stressors while avoiding circumstances that may trigger additional stress [78]. According to Livneh [79], the term “avoidant coping” has been used to refer to coping responses, reactions, modalities, and techniques such as denial, wishful thinking, escape (social) avoidance, distancing, diversion, minimization, and, in general, any style that suggested behavioral, emotional, and/or mental disengagement, despite the lack of agreement among people. In the statement of Quah and his colleagues [80], active and avoidant coping refers to cognitive or behavioral activity directed toward (active) or away from (avoidant) the danger, often referred to as fight-or-flight. In line with that Livneh [79] offers a more concise definition of it, stating that avoidant coping refers to “cognitive or behavioral attempts to disregard or avoid the reality of the problem or illness” (p. 164). Weiss et. al. [81] described coping as “constantly shifting cognitive and behavioral efforts to handle specific external and/or internal demands that are deemed to be taxing or exceeding the person's resources”. Avoidance strategies usually include the behavioral response of walking away, ignoring the aggressor, and cognitively distancing oneself by using distraction aimed at avoiding thoughts about the events. Avoidance is a maladaptive form of coping. It appears to be especially likely to aggravate a wide range of stressors [82]. Furthermore, Individuals with higher avoidance tend to believe partners will not be responsive in times of need [83]. Hence, when confronted with stressful situations, people may resort to avoidance coping, which entails cognitive and behavioral attempts aimed at rejecting, diminishing, or otherwise avoiding direct contact with stressful demands. It strongly suggests that coping techniques that include direct “activity,” such as facing the stressor or its connected emotions, are more effective in minimizing negative stress effects than avoidant types of coping, which involve avoiding the stressor and/or its related emotions.[84]. Adriani and Ratnasari, [85] found that marital satisfaction has the lowest value when partners raise or avoid their conflicts, especially when one partner is seen as a person who cannot compromise. Individual differences in coping styles are linked to
psychological vulnerability to stress [86]. This is related to the statement of Tanaka et al. [87], that avoidance coping has been linked to increased psychological distress and reduced well-being over the life cycle and a variety of stressors. People can only overcome stress and keep it from getting worse by the act of “coping.” Relaxation and recreation in the form of “saya” or externalized merriment may be classified as an avoidant coping mechanism, distancing, or mental disengagement. A form of coping that involves diverting one’s attention away from a stressful situation or feeling by engaging in activities that reduce the stress’s emotional and cognitive load [76]. Furthermore, a study stated that avoidance has been linked to the suppression of attachment related emotional memories and feelings about a case, as well as the reduction of affective hyper-activation as a result of those memories. [88]. People often try to suppress their thoughts, deny them, or distract themselves in response to these stressors. Although these avoidant techniques may be useful in the short term, they may also be detrimental to one’s health and behavioral efficacy if used frequently [35]. According to Cunha and colleagues [89], detached coping differs from task-oriented methods in that it does not require avoidance or denial. Feeling less involved in stressful events, on the other hand, may help subjects in dealing with stressful situations more effectively. An avoidant conflict-resolution style can be negatively linked to relationship self-regulation because those who avoid conflict are often uncertain of what their partners want them to improve because they avoid these types of conversations entirely. It was found that avoidant couples, who usually “agree to disagree,” have more peaceful but less intimate relationships than the other styles [90]. Tasew and Getahun [91] mentioned that stress, feelings of sadness and sorrow, worry about what others say outside the disturbance with their spouses, and feelings of despair and hopelessness were identified as the five key outcomes of marital conflict. Avoiding is one of the most commonly used conflict coping strategies. Hence, when confronted with stressful situations, people may resort to avoidance coping, which entails cognitive and behavioral attempts aimed at rejecting, diminishing, or otherwise avoiding direct contact with stressful demands. Stress manifested itself in a variety of ways, affecting people of all ages and areas of life. This means that stress is a normal component of life and can be caused by a variety of factors. The level of distress is depending on the individual’s lifestyle and the level of cultural development. Coping abilities are determinants of how a person responds to a task and how successful they are. When a person feels in control of a stressful circumstance, they are more likely to respond confidently to the issue [92]. Finding psychological/emotional proximity with romantic partners is either difficult or undesirable, avoidant people aim to establish and preserve freedom, power, and autonomy in their relationships. These views encourage avoidant people to use distancing/deactivating coping mechanisms to foster freedom and autonomy by defensively suppressing negative thoughts and emotions [93]. Furthermore, Abouammoh et. al. [94] stated that a large proportion of people utilized avoidant coping strategies to escape from stress. The result of their study demonstrates that their participants used more active coping strategies than avoidant methods to cope with stress. As it proves to be more effective rather than avoiding it. They also stated that avoidant stress-coping methods have been linked to psychiatric illness, decreased sleep duration, anxiety, and depression. Adult individuals have been shown to seek the soothing influence of their romantic partner in stressful situations, according to Douglas [95]. When it comes to feeling stress and then evaluating the stress, the security of a relationship, or the lack thereof, is a significant mediating variable. With both psychological and physiological responses, social support has shown to be an important psychological buffer for stress levels and perceived danger. Emotional invalidation may be a significant developmental risk factor for ongoing avoidance issues or escaping aversive thoughts or cognitions. In addition, Morin et al. [96] included that individuals who are reported using more emotion focused coping (i.e., handling emotional symptoms of stress) reported poorer sleep quality after being exposed to stress than those who used more problem-solving coping (i.e., altering stress-causing circumstances). Tension in the absence of a constructive coping strategy is a strong predictor of substance abuse. As explained by Crenshaw et. al. [97], conflict exists in all relationships, but how a couple participates in and reacts to conflict is a significant determinant of marital satisfaction. The attributions and appraisals of one's partner's emotions, feelings, and intentions are important in determining whether negative behavior is met with more negative behavior or with accommodation. According to Shin and Kemps, [98], emotion regulation relates to how people handle and regulate their own emotions in response to unpleasant emotional experiences and coping strategies. They also noted that attentional processes such as deliberately redirecting one's attention to a particular part of an emotionally challenging situation or redirecting one's attention away and distancing themselves from such situations are often included in theories of emotion regulation. In line with this Knobloch et. al. [99] integrative model conceptualizes avoidance as people's motivation to escape from situations that may result in undesirable outcomes; they use her model at a more micro level by claiming that persons who are depressed may avoid discussing sensitive matters with their romantic partner. Young and Limbers, [100] also added that they describe coping as the process by which “people regulate their actions, emotion, and orientation under psychological stress.” (p. 112) Although there are several different types of coping methods, the empirical literature supports two dimensions: problem-versus-emotion-focused coping and engagement (approach) versus disengagement (avoidance) coping. The first dimension focuses on acting on the source of the stress in the situation or the problem coping, as opposed to acting on the negative feelings that arise from a stressful situation emotion-focused coping; the second dimension focuses on acting on the negative feelings that arise from a stressful situation or the emotion-focused coping. Disengagement coping strategies involve strategies that move a person away from the stressor or their feelings or thoughts, while engagement coping strategies involve responses to stressors that are based on the source of the stressor or an individual's feelings or thoughts. Destructive conflict behaviors, such as separating, ignoring the partner, or refusing to discuss the problem, were found to be more powerful determinants of couple distress. In sum, when a couple lacks the capacity to engage in adaptive coping (e.g., trying to change the circumstances that cause the stress, analyzing the problem, seeking solutions, and seeking social support), but rather engages in dysfunctional coping styles (e.g., worrying, blaming, and avoiding to deal with the situation), their stress-related reactions will negatively affect their interactions and, consequently, the quality of their relationship [101].
3. Method

Participants and Procedure

The design that was used in this study is descriptive correlational. According to Sahin & Mete [102], descriptive research involves gathering information to test hypotheses or answer information about the present state of the research subject. Descriptive research is scientific research that systematically interacts with a specific area or population and explains an event, phenomena, or reality. In correlational research design, researchers use the correlation statistical test to characterize and calculate the degree of association (or relationship) between two or more variables or sets of scores, according to Creswell [103]. Respondents were chosen using a non-probability purposive sampling technique with a specific criterion wherein four hundred and seventy-five participants completed a forced-choice questionnaire about how they perceived invalidation with their spouse and a subset of this group (n=200) completed an additional measure about thought suppression and avoidant stress responses.

Instruments

Perceived Invalidation of Emotion Scale (PIES) was developed by Melissa J. Zielinski, this test aims to assess how often others with whom they have regular contact (in this case, spouse) respond to their shared emotions in the manner described. It is a 10 item questionnaire in which respondents are asked to range how often they get invalidated from never to always. White Bear Suppression Inventory Scale (WBSI) was used for measuring thought suppression; this test was conceptualized by Eric Rassin [44]. WBSI is composed of 15-item questions. The scoring of this test is with a 4 point Likert scale from Strongly Disagree (1) to Strongly Agree (4). Avoidant Stress Responses Scale (ASRS) was a self-constructed test that determines how respondents avoid stressful situations. It is a fifteen (15) item forced-choice questionnaire with a 4 point Likert scale ranging from 1 to 4 with 4 being strongly agreed and 1 as strongly disagree.

4. Result and Discussion

Results

Using the frequency and percentage distribution of the respondents’ demographic profile according to years of being married presented in table 1. The researchers found that most of the respondents are married within the range of less than ten (10) years with a frequency of ninety-six (96) which is equivalent to 48%. Meanwhile, with a frequency of fifty-three (53) or 27% of the respondents are married for eleven to twenty (11-20) years, followed by participants who are married for twenty-one to thirty (21-30) years with a percentage of seventeen (17). Lastly, seventeen (17) of the respondents are married for thirty-one (31) years and above or 9% of the total participants. In description statistics of frequency and percentage distribution of the respondents according to the level of perceived emotional invalidation presented in table 2 showed that 63% of the respondents perceived that they are very highly invalidated by their spouse while respondents perceived that they are highly invalidated are 38% the total mean level experienced by the participants is 3.35 with a standard deviation of 0.26 which is interpreted as always, which suggests that the participants perceived that they are always emotionally invalidated by their spouse. In addition, the level of thought suppression of married couples to their spouse based on the findings the frequency and percentage distribution in table 3 showed that 103 of 200 respondents or 52% have a “High” level of thought suppression, 43 or 22% have a “Very High” level of thought suppression while 53 or 27% of the respondents have an “Average” level of thought suppression and 1 or 1% of the respondents shows “Low” level of thought suppression. On the other hand, the level of avoidant stress responses of married couples to their spouse using the Avoidant Stress Responses Scale presented in table 4 showed that one hundred-two of the two hundred respondents or 51% resulted in “moderately avoidant” stress response, respondents who fall “highly avoidant” have the frequency of 77 and 39% while those who have “mild avoidant” stress response are 20 or two 10% of the respondents and “low avoidant” response results to 1 or 1%.

To test the relationship between emotional invalidation and thought suppression we used linear regression analysis using Microsoft Excel and Jamovi. Presented in table 5 are results that shows the correlation coefficient between the two variables which has a value of -0.03 which signifies a negative correlation, and a p-value of 0.65 which is greater than the level of significance of 0.05 which indicates that the researchers failed to reject the null hypothesis. This can be interpreted that the emotional invalidation and thought suppression among married couples are not statistically significant. In addition, presented in table 6 are results of the relationship between emotional invalidation and avoidant stress responses. The researchers also found that the correlation coefficient between the two variables has a value of 0.10 with a p-value of 0.14 which means that the researchers failed to reject the null hypothesis. This can be interpreted that emotional invalidation and avoidant stress responses among married couples are not statistically significant.

Discussion

The main objective of the present study was to determine the relationship between emotional invalidation to thought suppression and avoidant stress responses among married couples. The researchers hypothesized that it has a significant relationship. Also, the researchers measure the length of marriage among couples and levels of each variable. It found that the majority of the respondents have a length of marriage that is no more than 10 years. Data shows that the majority of the invalidated respondents are in the early years of marriage. In this phase of marriage, the honeymoon phase is long over and married couples tend to have problems at their worst – even when the couple sees a happy marriage as an outcome of actual efforts to keep it healthy. The psychological literature considers this pattern consistent with the notions such as ‘honeymoon-is-over’ and ‘seven-year itch’. Most married couples come up against a decline in marital quality after the first years of marriage, with tensions tending to culminate near the seventh year [17]. The level of the perceived emotional invalidation of the respondents is consistent with the study of Zielinski & Veilleux, [18] which suggests emotion invalidation may stem from how social partners respond to an expressed emotion. Invalidation is when one’s private feelings or overt expressions of emotions are punished, ignored, or otherwise considered unacceptable [14]. The findings on the level of thought suppression by the respondents suggests that the participants used thought suppression as the cognitive process by which individuals try
to avoid unwanted thoughts [31]. It was stated by Dworkin et al. [40] that suppression is motivated by personal goals that may vary across settings and emotions, in which motivation may include protecting their relationship by concealing or suppressing their expression of anger, suppressing sadness to reduce one's vulnerability, and being courteous to value other by suppressing personal pride and happiness. On the other hand, the level of avoidant stress responses among married couples which shows that the majority of the respondents are moderately avoidant is consistent with the study of Rilveria [76], which stated that people can only overcome stress and keep it from getting worse by the act of "copin." Relaxation and recreation in the form of "saya" or externalized merriment may be classified as an avoidant coping mechanism, distancing, or mental disengagement. A form of coping that involves diverting one's attention away from a stressful situation or feeling by engaging in activities that reduce the stress's emotional and cognitive load.

Results gathered indicate that although married couples perceive that they are emotionally invalidated by their spouse it does not follow that emotional invalidation predicts thought suppression. Thought suppression may seem to be an effective strategy, but it also has its emotional consequences. One of the paradoxical and counterproductive effects of thought suppression is that it can lead to a recurrence of the thought being suppressed [37]. In addition to this, in the Filipino context they rather solved the problem than suppress it, this includes positive and hopeful thinking, changes in goals and values. Help-seeking behaviors, receiving advice, receiving support from friends and family, and sharing one's problems are all examples of social support (paghingi ng tulong). Problem-solving (pagtugon) includes making plans, taking action, and attempting to reduce the source of stress. Filipino who were confronted in a stressful situation will experience recovery, redemption and coping in Rilveria, [76]. Coping methods are the ways in which people choose to respond to stressful events. The effectiveness of coping mechanisms may play a significant influence in stress reduction. For many Filipinos who come from religious families, cultural coping mechanisms are readily available [77]. In addition, results gathered indicate that although married couples perceive that they are emotionally invalidated by their spouse it does not follow that emotional invalidation predicts avoidant stress responses. Avoidance is a maladaptive form of coping. It appears to be especially likely to aggravate a wide range of stressors[82]. Avoidance is a common phenomenon that becomes problematic when used chronically as a means of regulating internal experiences, such as emotion. Furthermore, Individuals with higher avoidance tend to believe partners will not be responsive in times of need [83]. Hence, when confronted with stressful situations, people may resort to avoidance coping, which entails cognitive and behavioral attempts aimed at rejecting, diminishing, or otherwise avoiding direct contact with stressful demands. Stress manifested itself in a variety of ways, affecting people of all ages and areas of life. This means that stress is a normal component of life and can be caused by a variety of factors. The level of distress is depending on the individual’s lifestyle and the level of cultural development. Coping abilities are determinants of how a person responds to a task and how successful they are. When a person feels in control of a stressful circumstance, they are more likely to respond confidently to the issue[93].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Length</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Rank</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Less than 10 years</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 - 20 years</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-30 years</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 years and above</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>200</strong></td>
<td><strong>100%</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Perceived Invalidation of Emotion Among Respondents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Frequency And Percentage Distribution Of Length Of Years Among Respondents

Table 2. Frequency And Percentage Distribution of Perceived Invalidation of Emotion Among Respondents

Table 3. Frequency and Percentage Distribution of Perceived Thought Suppression Among Respondents
### Table 4. Frequency and Percentage Distribution of Perceived Avoidant Stress Responses Among Respondents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very High</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 5 The Significant Relationship between Emotional Invalidation to Thought Suppression among Married Couples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Emotional Invalidation</th>
<th>Correlation Coefficient</th>
<th>p-value</th>
<th>Decision</th>
<th>Interpretation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>Failed to reject Ho</td>
<td>Not Significant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 6 The Significant Relationship between Emotional Invalidation to Avoidant Stress Response among Married Couples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Avoidant Stress Response among Married Couples</th>
<th>Correlation Coefficient</th>
<th>p-value</th>
<th>Decision</th>
<th>Interpretation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Emotional Invalidation</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>Failed to reject Ho</td>
<td>Not Significant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5 Conclusion and recommendations

Conclusion
Emotional invalidation is a construct that has received very little attention despite its theoretical importance. The current study examined the relationship between emotional invalidation to thought suppression and avoidant stress responses among married couples residing in Metro Manila. Based on the findings of the study, the researchers have drawn the following conclusions: The majority of the respondents who participated in the survey are married for less than ten years with a frequency of 96 which is equivalent to 48% of the overall respondents. The level of emotional invalidation perceived by respondents showed that they are always invalidated by their spouse by having a mean of 3.35 and SD of 0.26 which is interpreted as always that indicates a high level of perceived emotional invalidation. The overall scores or level of thought suppression of married couples to their spouse (M= 2.84, SD 0.47) indicate that they agree on engaging in thought suppression and strongly agree on avoidant stress responses with an overall level or score of (M=3.05, SD=0.46). The scores on emotional invalidation and thought suppression showed a negative correlation with a correlation coefficient of -0.03 and a p-value of 0.65 which is greater than the level of significance of 0.05, therefore the linear regression showed that there is no significant relationship between emotional invalidation to thought suppression which indicates that the researchers failed to reject the null hypothesis. The scores on emotional invalidation and the scores on avoidant stress responses showed no correlation with a correlation coefficient of 0.10 and a p-value of 0.14 which is greater than the level of significance of 0.05, therefore it indicates that there is no significant relationship between emotional invalidation to avoidant stress responses, therefore, the researchers failed to reject the null hypothesis. In conclusion from the result of the statistical treatment of data using linear regression analysis, the researcher concluded that emotional invalidation has no significant relationship to thought suppression and avoidant stress responses. Future researchers should explore other variables that could possibly influence the relationship.

Recommendation
It is recommended that spouses of married couples who are invalidated be informed of the negative effects of emotional invalidation and be more sensitive to their partner so that emotional invalidation can be avoided as well as misunderstandings of both individuals. Hence, a seminar that would further acknowledge dealing with the emotion of married couples and promote more open communication. The finding shows that the majority of the married couples perceived that they are very highly invalidated. Studies stated that emotional invalidation pointed out the importance of effective communication because it has the power of increasing one’s connections with others and one’s well-being. Prolonged or long-term inhibition of expression or emotion such as thought suppression and avoidant stress places a significant burden and makes it more vulnerable to stress. The researchers recommend that invalidated couples refrain from inhibiting or suppressing their emotions. Hence, it is suggested that seminars and programs that promote active coping, dealing with stressors may help rather than completely avoid it. Talking about one’s emotional experiences and stress is inherently more beneficial rather than avoiding it. The researchers recommend using an additional tool to measure emotional invalidation and thought suppression that is nesting to a particular relationship (marital relationship) as this study used PIERS and WBSI which is a general tool that can be used. The future researcher may use other tools that would fit the demographic profile of the respondents. To better improve the study, the future researcher suggests accommodating more respondents because sample size could affect the correlations between the variables. Future researchers should be more aware of the underlying issues regarding emotional invalidation. It is recommended for future researchers to study how emotional invalidation affects marital satisfaction. The researchers recommend future researchers perform additional research on emotional invalidation because it is a construct that has received very little attention despite its theoretical importance. There is a scarcity of studies on invalidation in marriage, which has to be addressed. It is recommended that future researchers should try to correlate the length of the years of married couples to the level of emotional invalidation to see if it affects how they perceive invalidation. And to consider gender as a variable to see if it has an impact on the perceived invalidation of emotion. Allowing future researchers to replicate and use this study as their reference.
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